

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 and 10-19 are currently pending in the application. With this response, no claims have been amended and no new claims have been added.

The Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-19, asserting that the reissue oath/declaration filed August 5, 2005, is defective because it fails to specifically identify at least one error that is relied upon to support the reissue declaration. Office Action at 3. The Examiner indicates that "Applicant must specifically state that the error was in claiming 'consisting essentially of' which they now feel is too narrow, and they now want to correct this error by broadening the claim by changing it to 'comprising.'" *Id.*

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesy of conducting a telephonic conference with Applicant's representative on July 19, 2006. During that telephonic conference, the Examiner discussed what language would satisfy all the requirements to overcome the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-19. Applicant hereby submits a signed Replacement Supplemental Reissue Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.172 and 1.175 which incorporates such language in the last sentence of paragraph 7.

If any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at 650-849-6611.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Response, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: August 25, 2006

By: Elisabeth Jaffe Barek
Elisabeth Jaffe Barek
Reg. No. 46,797
Customer No. 22,852